
-- parking ordinances is 1.5 spots per dwelling unit. That's been defined. And what was a "dwelling unit" to me was -- is a key thing here. And a dwelling unit is -- a dwelling unit is one or more rooms per one family. Then that further leads to what's the definition of a family.

So, long story short, hopefully, though these are duplexes, because of the space and how these are going to be designed, that doesn't mean that those are two dwelling units that are duplexes.

The way I read our codes, one of these duplexes is -- a duplex is one dwelling. So, there's 11 dwelling units. So, 11 -- and 11 dwellings units times 1.5 is, like, 16 and a half. Plus you had the parking order (indiscernible) into it.

So, that is my interpretation of the code in going through the definitions. And not to weigh too much time in one day diving into dwelling units, family, you know, what the definition of family means and all that kind of thing.

MS. ARMS: And we thank you.

MS. HANSON: Yes. I also wasn't sure if we were looking at codes for a subdivision versus codes for -- because this is really a congregate community. And, like, we're not developing a subdivision. We're just building this one community for -- for our residents.
So, it's not really -- I mean, it doesn't fit into a subdivision. It would be more of a congregate community, and I don't know if the codes go for -- I can't think of any congregate communities that we've had in Fulton. So....

MR. BRUEMMER: So, following our code, I believe that the requirements from our code would put the number of spaces -- we will have 21. Now I'm going through on this sheet from Crockett (phonetic.) When they were doing it, they were looking at each duplex having to have three spots (indiscernible.) And looking at the code and definition of all of that that's on this sheet here, I believe is wrong in my interpretation (indiscernible.)

MR. HEALY: I'll go ahead and have a second call for anyone who would like to speak. Third call. Hearing none, we'll go to three calls for those in opposition to speak. Second
call. Third call.
Now we're going to go ahead and declare the hearing closed.

And now the discussion on the issue is WeBUILT is land up for 11 (indiscernible) spaces for the property and to the nature of the facility. No other evidence will be drivers and therefore will not be required each parking space.

Next I would like to have a motion.
MR. BARNES: There are a few questions I
would like to put out. The -- I believe (indiscernible) this information very helpful. I also understand, you know, the type of resident that will be here. Could -potentially by the statements of these folks not driving, I don't know whether that's --

MS. HANSON: Right. (Indiscernible crosstalk.)

MR. BARNES: You're saying that they -none of them today do?

MS. HANSON: There could be some that do.
MR. BARNES: Okay. All right.
MS. HANSON: (Indiscernible crosstalk.)
MR. BARNES: Do we anticipate a high
volume of family visitors into this
environment?
MS. HANSON: So, there is a community that is much like the one that we are building in Clackamas, Oregon. And they have eight parking places for their entire community. And I think that probably 85 percent of the time it's totally fine and there is plenty of parking. And then sometimes it gets a little crowded.

And I would say that ours would probably be about -- we have -- we've put in a few more parking places than what they have. And then there's, you know, the street that the parents (indiscernible) and walk down the street.

MR. BARNES: While I'm somewhat familiar with your area, the Manor Drive, there's a number of apartments and whatnot, I believe.

MS. HANSON: True.
MR. BARNES: Whether those folks are utilizing their current street parking for those dwelling units, I don't know. I have not -- I have not investigated that.

MS. ARMS: There's usually -- it's usually empty except for a couple of cars.

MS. HANSON: Yeah.
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MS. ARMS: So, on the rare occasion that we might need it, there would probably be parking.

MR. BARNES: And I suppose my concerns have less to do with the number of spots in the parking area and more to do with, you know, I think fire turn around has been, you know, called out here. You know, obviously, the need to get emergency vehicles in and out is important.

I guess my next question, and I'm not going to dominate the discussion, jump in any time, would be to Kyle. Has this variance been made for other developments in Fulton or was this kind of a one off first time? Can you think of any? I'm not going to hold you to it.

MR. BRUEMMER:: Honestly, I don't have an adequate answer to that question just that I have not (indiscernible) long enough to be able to accurately, you know, answer that question. I don't think it's a common request that I can obtain.

MR. BARNES: I think we all (indiscernible) in Fulton not just tons and tons of residential development going on. So,
the opportunity to (indiscernible) is reasonable to begin with.

MS. HANSON: I will clarify to say that I
know there has been discussion on commercial
parking, but as far as something of a
residential nature, (indiscernible) the past 10 years. Nothing off the top of my head.

MR. BRUEMMER:: I mean the only parking does come up obviously on many occasions, you know, when the high school was redoing their parking lot, you know, parking (indiscernible.) You know, at that time, they were interchanging trying to (indiscernible) and because of the type of facility it was. And then we -- we then (indiscernible.) But now as far as a facility like this, I don't....

MR. BARNES: One more question I can think of, Kyle, maybe others will come to mind, and it's kind of an odd question because I don't want to ever suggest that this endeavor won't be successful.

If a variance is granted or bargained in this specific case for this specific development and the property gets utilized in a different way down the road, is the variance
the variance and it's there forever and until
the end of time? Meaning, you know, if the development gets used in a different manner -I mean, I would suggest parking would be very limited in a different scenario. I just didn't know --

MR. BRUEMMER: Yeah, I would think unless there was some major modification, you know, given a new building, a big renovation of what's there, I know there will be opportunity, I guess, to add parking if that were the case. You know, with that, I would say, too, that the City doesn't -- this is one lot. It's not a big piece (indiscernible.)

MS. HANSON: Right.
MR. BRUEMMER: So, the other side there, too, is that another piece of information is the road that's going back in there from the (indiscernible) Manor is now they may try it.

But still -- still, obviously, emergency service and everything else has got to get back in there. And then we've had discussions with the fire chief (indiscernible.) And through some of those discussions and (indiscernible) and the fire chief kind of made some

MR. BARNES: Okay. That's really all the questions that I have for you. I'm not doubting anything that you've presented. I suppose I'm just rolling around in my head that scenario where you do end up having, you know, 20 people -- 20 people that own cars trying to park in there.

MS. ARMS: So, (indiscernible) I dotted off. I mean, there's -- there's room to put -where it's kind of --

MS. HANSON: Right. All of that (indiscernible crosstalk.) Like, you know (indiscernible crosstalk.)

MS. ARMS: We own all of it.
MS. HANSON: (Indiscernible crosstalk.)
MS. ARMS: Yeah.
MS. HANSON: And I remember a case where they actually had a lot of drivers in our community.

MS. ARMS: (Indiscernible crosstalk.) We just don't think we're going to need them.

MS. HANSON: Definitely not at first.
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