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| 1 Page 5 <br> 2 Council and been approved by the State for this. <br> 3 We're now working through the bureaucratic process <br> 4 for the historic tax credits which is now in the <br> 5 hands of the State Historic Preservation officer who <br> 6 is hopefully, within the next couple weeks, ready to <br> 7 submit their review forward to the National Park <br> 8 Service so it can be reviewed at the national level. <br> 9 Our plans are hopefully to be -- to have <br> 10 all of the approvals in place and start new <br> 11 construction, I think third quarter, fourth quarter <br> 12 of this year. But again, it's just the bureaucratic <br> 13 process. We do have the financing and all the other <br> 14 parts approved. We're just now moving through the <br> 15 paperwork to get there. <br> 16 We're requesting a 20-foot rear setback <br> 17 line versus the 25-foot. Just, it's basically to <br> 18 separate the buildings a little more so the residents <br> 19 have some ability to get air and see -- have a better <br> 20 view looking out their windows on the upper floors so <br> 21 they're not looking at just another building adjacent <br> 22 to them. And it's also to make construction easier, <br> 23 to have that extra five foot between the buildings <br> 24 for the construction workers while they're working on <br> 25 it. | asking for is a reduction of five feet there on the <br> rear of the very east portion of the lot adjacent to Poston Ave. <br> We're doing that a couple reasons. <br> Number one is to allow for better drainage between the buildings, to allow for the water to have a way to get out and go to the area inlands. Number two, better fire protection. If we were to push that rear setback an additional five feet, the buildings would then be even closer together having the un -- unable to move the existing building. And then also to have the residents on that side of the building be able to have a better view and not be as close to the existing building as well. And like Dan said, constructability as well. <br> It is our opinion too that, you know, these rear setbacks are made for whenever you have two residential lots back to back or any other buildings back to back. In this case we have a right-of-way to the road as well, so it has a lot of extra buffer in the area as well. So that is why we're here requesting tonight to go from 25 to 20 foot setback; it's for the previously-mentioned items. Be happy to answer any other questions the 25 Board might have. |
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| 1 But that's -- those are the main reasons Page 6 <br> 2 for our request for the variance. And Kyle Miller is <br> 3 with Crockett Engineering and they are the civil <br> 4 engineer for this development and I will now let Kyle <br> 5 speak and give you some more details on what we're <br> 6 proposing. <br> 7 MR. HEALY: Thank you very much. <br> 8 MR. MILLER: Good evening. Kyle Miller, <br> 9 Crockett Engineering. Address, 1000 West Nifong, <br> 10 Building 1, Columbia, Missouri. <br> 11 So to add on to what Dan said here this <br> 12 evening, as you can see by our rendering here or the <br> 13 packet that was provided, the existing building is to <br> 14 be renovated and on top of that, a new construction <br> 15 of a new building there just to the east of that <br> 16 building. <br> 17 The City Code requires that the rear <br> 18 setback be 25 feet along with the front yard is <br> 19 also 25 feet and side yard of 10. With this proposal <br> 20 of the new building we would be meeting all other <br> 21 requirements for the front yard at 25, the side <br> 22 yards of 10 feet, as well as the -- right in this <br> 23 area -- an additional rear setback for the residents <br> 24 right adjacent to that property with the same <br> 25 required $25-$ foot setback. The only thing we would be | 1 MR. HEALY: I don't know if anyone has a Page 8 <br> 2 question, but thank you very much. <br> 3 MR. MILLER: You bet. <br> 4 MR. HEALY: I'm going to have the second <br> 5 call for those in favor to speak. Do we have anyone <br> 6 else that would like to speak? <br> 7 MR. LITTLE: I'm Brian Little, 4050 State <br> 8 Road JJ, Fulton, Missouri. I've been on the board, <br> 9 the Carver school board for quite a few years now. <br> 10 This project is moving forward with the help of MACO <br> 11 and their partners. I believe we've gotten a lot of <br> 12 support from the State. We've gotten a lot of <br> 13 support from the City of Fulton council members. I <br> 14 would like to encourage your support too for this <br> 15 project with this minor variance. <br> 16 If you're not familiar with it, I would <br> 17 invite you to look at a similar property in Sedalia <br> 18 called Lincoln Hubbard. If you haven't seen the <br> 19 drawings and everything that Dan and his company have <br> 20 provided, if you look up Lincoln Hubbard, you're <br> 21 going to see some photos of a school that looks just <br> 22 almost identical to Carver and what they've done with <br> 23 it. It just -- it was just astounding what they've <br> 24 done. And we're needing your help to accomplish the <br> 25 same thing for that neighborhood on Westminster |







